

How to Prevent a Startup Zombie Apocalypse

Sarah Herzog, University of Glasgow, UK

Knowing when to pull the plug on a failing startup might be the most important decision a founder ever makes. If they hold on for too long, they risk financial ruin, emotional burnout, and strained relationships — often leaving them too drained to start again.

Take *Michael*¹, whose venture built a dockless bike-sharing system. But even after months of pitching, no city was willing to adopt it. As the business struggled, Michael burned through his savings and took out personal loans to cover payroll. Even after ultimately having to let his team go and move back in with his parents, he kept the company going for another two years, desperately twisting the business model and technology, despite feeling completely drained.

Michael wasn't an outlier. Again and again, we saw founders continue long after their ventures had become unviable. To understand why this happens we spoke with 30 entrepreneurs whose startups ultimately failed, and 25 of the stakeholders who supported them.

What we found challenges the usual assumption that founders are solely to blame — whether due to overconfidence, emotional attachment, or fear of failure. Instead, these decisions are often shaped by the broader startup ecosystem surrounding the entrepreneur. And here's the contradiction: while advisors, accelerators, and investors often preach "fail fast," the deeper cultural and structural dynamics at play often push founders to keep going, even when walking away would be the wiser move.

This tension gives rise to what we call *zombie startups* — slowly failing ventures that stagger on, draining money and morale. In the sections that follow, we unpack the patterns and forces that make quitting so difficult.

A culture that glorifies persistence but tells founders to fail fast

Startup ecosystems encourage founders to iterate, experiment, and "fail fast". Yet, these ideas clash with dominant narratives that glorify perseverance and idolize entrepreneurial icons like Steven Jobs, often portraying their success as the result of sheer willpower. This creates a confusing double message: quitting may be smart, but persistence is heroic.

This tension is compounded by a lingering cultural stigma around failure and a perfectionism that often starts early in life. Despite this, few entrepreneurship programs equip founders with tools to recognize when a venture is unsustainable, or to exit in a structured, intentional way.

Support systems often send false signals to keep going

"It is always in pretty much everyone's interests to keep building the company — except for the founder who is losing sleep, time, money, and their life." (EF-23)

The rapid proliferation of accelerators, incubators, and pitch competitions has created competition among them to attract ventures, resulting in selecting weaker ventures just to hit quotas or KPIs. For founders, this can create the illusion of success: being accepted into a program may feel like validation, even in the absence of real market

¹ Names and identifying details have been altered to maintain confidentiality.

traction. Investors and advisors may further encourage persistence or threaten founders to continue, often without further investments.

Shame keeps founders silent when they need support most

"The only people who really understand are other founders who are going through the same thing." (EF26)

Nonetheless, many founders described a deep sense of shame about struggling, which led them to withdraw from founder communities, precisely when they needed emotional support, and genuine and timely feedback the most.

Taken together, these patterns reveal that the problem isn't just with individual founders making poor decisions; it's with a system that often sets them up to fail quietly, slowly, and alone.

So, what can founders do?

- Plan your exit before you're in crisis: Create a simple, early-stage contingency plan that outlines signals to watch out for, and the steps to take if they appear. It's easier to think clearly before the crisis hits.
- Validate through customers, not competitions: Accelerators and pitch events can create a false sense of traction. Focus instead on whether real people will pay for what you're building.
- Ask for help: Surround yourself with people who understand the emotional weight of entrepreneurship and won't shy away from hard conversations. These relationships matter most when things get hard.

And what must the ecosystem do?

- **Provide tools for structured exits:** Support programs should equip founders with practical frameworks for assessing viability and planning exits, not just scaling.
- **Facilitate peer support:** Create safe spaces for founders to speak openly about failure, doubt, and fatigue, without stigma. Peer groups and mentoring networks can make this possible.
- Redefine what ecosystem success means: Stop measuring progress by how many startups survive. Instead, focus on how well the system helps founders thrive whether they continue, pivot, or walk away.

By looking beyond the individual founder, our research offers insights for both entrepreneurs and ecosystem builders to prevent a zombie apocalypse, where ecosystems become saturated with ventures that drag on and founders who are left burned out, broke, and unable to startup again.

CONTACT: Sarah Herzog, s.herzog.1@research.gla.ac.uk, University of Glasgow, Adam Smith Business School, 2 Discovery Place, Glasgow G11 6EY, United Kingdom