

Who Helps to Build Better Startup Teams—Independent or Corporate Venture Capital?

Simon (Seongbin) Yoon, University of California, Irvine (UCI), USA

Why It Matters

Imagine two startups, both building promising products and both recently funded. One receives backing from a traditional venture capital firm. The other gets investment from the venture arm of a big corporation. A few months later, one has hired a world-class product lead and lined up a launch partner. The other is still figuring out what role to hire next.

What made the difference? This study explores whether independent venture capital firms (IVCs)—known for their hands-on support—help startups make smarter hiring decisions after investment than corporate venture capital (CVC) investors, who often take a more hands-off role.

The Big Question

Do hands-on investors help startups build better teams-and drive more innovation?

What I Found

Using a newly built dataset of over 88,000 startups that received some form of external investment—including angel funding, venture capital, and corporate venture capital—I examined how hiring patterns changed before and after investment. The data spans from 1978 to 2024 and includes more than 4 million startup-month observations across diverse sectors. To track both team changes and innovation outcomes, I combined information from PitchBook, Crunchbase, LinkedIn, and PatentsView. I then used a difference-in-differences approach to compare similar firms over time and assess how investor involvement may relate to shifts in hiring and innovation.

Here's what stood out:

- IVC-backed startups made more targeted, strategic hires after investment—especially in roles like engineering, marketing, or operations.
- These hires often filled skill gaps and complemented the existing team.
- Startups with these hires were more likely to show innovation progress, including new product launches, patent activity, and strategic partnerships.
- CVC-backed startups changed hiring patterns far less. With fewer shifts in team structure, their innovation trajectory was more muted.

Choosing an investor isn't just about the size of the check. It's also about the support they bring after the deal closes. If you're building a team and navigating fast growth, an engaged IVC partner can be a valuable ally—offering introductions, advice, and even sitting on your board to guide decisions. If you want hands-on help scaling your team and your product, IVCs may be the better match.

Why This Matters for Investors

The study highlights how much value VCs can create beyond capital—especially through talent strategy. If you're an investor looking to improve portfolio performance, stepping in to help shape hiring decisions could be a high-leverage move. Active involvement—especially in hiring—can directly influence innovation outcomes.

Final Takeaway

The kind of investor a startup chooses matters—not just for growth, but for how teams are built and ideas become real. This study shows that IVCs don't just fund startups—they help build them.

CONTACT: Simon (Seongbin) Yoon; <u>seongbiy@uci.edu</u>; University of California, Irvine (UCI); 4293 Pereira Dr. Irvine, CA 92697.